arXiv:1605.03042v5 [math.FA] 10 Nov 2016

SCHATTEN PROPERTIES, NUCLEARITY AND MINIMALITY OF SHIFT INVARIANT SPACES

JOACHIM TOFT

Abstract. We extend Feichtinger's minimality property on smallest non-trivial time-frequency shift invariant Banach spaces, to the quasi-Banach case. Analogous properties are deduced for certain matrix classes.

We use these results to prove that the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ is a Schatten-q operator from M^∞ to M^p and r-nuclear operator from M^∞ to M^r when $a \in M^r$ for suitable p, q and r in $(0,\infty]$.

0. Introduction

A remarkable property of the weighted Feichtinger algebra $M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the minimality among non-trivial Banach spaces which are invariant under time-frequency shifts with respect to the submultiplicative weight v. (See Section 1 for notations.) More precisely, let $\mathscr{B}$ be a Banach space which is continuously embedded in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, the set of tempered distributions on $\mathbf{R}^d$ (or, more generally, in the set $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ Gelfand-Shilov distributions of Beurling type of order 1 on $\mathbf{R}^d$). If $\mathscr{B}$ is invariant under time-frequency shifts, $f \mapsto e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}f(\,\cdot\,-x)$, and satisfies

$$\mathscr{B} \bigcap M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \neq \{0\}$$

and

$$\|e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}f(\,\cdot\,-x)\|_{\mathscr{B}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}\, v(x,\xi), \qquad f \in \mathscr{B},\ x,\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d, \tag{0.1}$$

then $M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$. In fact, the search of non-trivial smallest translation and modulation invariant Banach space, led Feichtinger to the discovery of $M^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (cf. [16]). Later on, Bonsall deduced in [4] a slightly different proof compared to [16], which can also be found in Section 12.1 in [24].

In Section 2 we extend this minimality property to the case of quasi-Banach spaces. More precisely, let $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be a quasi-Banach space such that (0.1) holds with a v-moderate weight ω in place of v.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary: 47Bxx, 45P05, 35S05, 42B35 secondary: 65F35, 46A16, 42C15.

Key words and phrases. Matrices, Modulation spaces, Non-uniform expansions, Minimality, Singular values, Nuclear operators.

Assume in addition that for some $p \in (0,1]$ we have

$$\|f+g\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p \le \|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p + \|g\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p, \qquad f,g \in \mathscr{B}, \tag{0.2}$$

and there is a Gabor frame atom $\psi \in \mathscr{B} \bigcap M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \neq \{0\}$. Then we deduce $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ (see Theorem 2.4). Note that our restrictions on the involved weights are relaxed compared to [4, 24].

We mainly follow the approach in [4, 16, 24]. In particular we consider spaces of non-uniform Gabor expansions whose coefficients obey suitable weighted ℓ^p-estimates, and prove that these spaces agree with corresponding modulation spaces. (Cf. Proposition 2.2.)

In Section 2 we also deduce analogous minimality properties for certain classes of matrix operators. In particular, let J be an index set, $\mathscr{B}$ be a quasi-Banach space of matrices $(a(j,k))_{j,k\in J}$ such that the following is true:

- $A_{j_0,k_0} = (\delta_{j,j_0}\delta_{k,k_0})_{j,k\in J} \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\|A_{j_0,k_0}\|_{\mathscr{B}} \le C$ for some constant C which is independent of $j_0, k_0 \in J$;
- $\|A_1 + A_2\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p \le \|A_1\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p + \|A_2\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p$.

Then we prove that $\mathbb{U}^p(J)$, the set of all matrices $(a(j,k))_{j,k\in J}$ such that $\sum_{j,k} |a(j,k)|^p < \infty$, is continuously embedded in $\mathscr{B}$.

In Sections 3 and 4 we apply the results in Section 2 to deduce Schatten-von Neumann and nuclear properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in modulation spaces, when acting on (other) modulation spaces. More precisely, let $a \in M^r_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $0 < r \le 1$. If $r = 1$ and all involved weights are trivially equal to 1 everywhere, then it is proved in [26] that the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ is continuous from $M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $M^r_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and a Schatten-von Neumann operator of order p on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) = M^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The latter Schatten-von Neumann result was remarked to hold already in [38]. For general $p \in (0,1]$ and for weighted modulation spaces, these continuity and Schatten-von Neumann results were extended in [45]. (See [45, Theorems 3.1 and 3.4].)

For certain choices of weights, Theorem 3.4 in [45] was improved in [14, Corollary 5.1] from which it follows that if $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ and ω are suitable weights, $r \in (0,1]$ and $a \in M^r_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ is r-nuclear on any $M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for any $p_1, q_1 \in [1,\infty]$. (See also [10–12] for recent progress on r-nuclearity of operators acting on Lebesgue type spaces, [15] for some extensions to other families of Banach spaces, and [13] for analogous investigations for operators acting on elements defined on manifolds with boundary.)

In Section 3 we apply the minimality properties from Section 2 to deduce that if $p, q, r \in (0,\infty]$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{r} - 1 \ge \max\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1, 0\right) + \max\left(\frac{1}{q} - 1, 0\right) + \frac{1}{q},$$

the weights ω_1, ω_2 and ω obey the same (relaxed) conditions as in [45] and that $a \in M^r_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ belongs to $\mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d), M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d))$, the set of Schatten-von Neumann operators of order p from $M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. If $q = \infty$ and $p \le 1$, then $r = p$, and we recover [45, Theorems 3.1], i. e. $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ is continuous from $M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $M^r_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ when $a \in M^r_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

In Section 4 the minimality results in Section 2 are applied to deduce p-nuclearity for the pseudo-differential operators above. In fact, let $p \in (0, 1]$, ω_1, ω_2 and ω be as above and let $a \in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then it is shown that $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ belongs to $\mathscr{N}_p(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d), M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d))$, the set of p-nuclear operators from $M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. This improves some of the results in [15] where it is shown that $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ belongs to $\mathscr{N}_p(M^{p_0,q_0}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d), M^{p_0,q_0}_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d))$, provided $p_0, q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ are weights of polynomial type.

By using suitable embedding results between modulation spaces and other types of function and distribution spaces, the results in Sections 3 and 4 also leads to certain Schatten-von Neumann and r-nuclearity results in the framework of such spaces. For example, in these sections we may replace the modulation spaces by suitable Besov spaces, by using suitable embeddings between such spaces (see [32,39,40] for such embeddings in the Banach space case, and [50] in the more general quasi-Banach space case).

Finally we remark about recent progresses by J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky, N. Togmagambetov and B. Wang on p-nuclearity and Schatten-von Neumann properties in various contexts. In [10] optimal conditions on memberships in Schatten-von Neumann classes over L^2 were obtained in terms of Sobolev regularity of the kernels. In [11,12,15], p-nuclearity of operators acting on Lebesgue type spaces are considered. Especially, in [15] some conditions for p-nuclearity of operators between weighted modulation and other Banach spaces were obtained in terms of symbols. Furthermore, in [13] analogous investigations are performed for operators acting on elements defined on manifolds with boundary.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to H. Feichtinger for encouraging discussions during his visit at Linnæus university, autumn 2016. I am also grateful to J. Delgado and M. Ruzhansky for fruitful discussions on the subject of the paper.

1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic facts of quasi-Banach spaces, Gelfand-Shilov spaces and modulation spaces.

We start by introducing some notations on quasi-Banach spaces. A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}}$ on a vector space $\mathscr{B}$ over $\mathbf{C}$ is a non-negative function $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $\mathscr{B}$ which is non-degenerate in the sense

$$\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} = 0 \quad \iff \quad f = 0, \qquad f \in \mathscr{B},$$

and fulfills

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\alpha f\|_{\mathscr{B}} = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}, && f \in \mathscr{B},\ \alpha \in \mathbf{C} \\ \text{and} \qquad &\|f+g\|_{\mathscr{B}} \le 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} + \|g\|_{\mathscr{B}}), && f, g \in \mathscr{B}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for some constant $p \in (0,1]$ which is independent of $f, g \in \mathscr{B}$. Then $\mathscr{B}$ is a topological vector space when the topology for $\mathscr{B}$ is defined by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}}$, and $\mathscr{B}$ is called a quasi-Banach space if $\mathscr{B}$ is complete under this topology.

In general, the conditions (1.1) does not need to imply that

$$\|f+g\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p \le \|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p + \|g\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p, \qquad f, g \in \mathscr{B} \tag{1.2}$$

should hold. On the other hand, by Aiko-Rolewicz theorem it follows that we may replace the quasi-norm in (1.1) by an equivalent one, and which also satisfies (1.2) (cf. [1, 36]). Here we note that if $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a quasi-norm which satisfies (1.2), then (1.1) holds.

From now on we assume that the quasi-norm of $\mathscr{B}$ is chosen such that (1.1) and (1.2) hold true.

1.1. **The Gelfand-Shilov space $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and its distribution space.** Next we recall the definition of $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and its distribution space $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, which belong to the family of Gelfand-Shilov spaces and their duals (cf. e. g. [20]). Let $h \in \mathbf{R}_+$ be fixed. Then $\mathcal{S}_{1,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the set of all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{1,h}} \equiv \sup \frac{|x^\beta \partial^\alpha f(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\alpha!\,\beta!}$$

is finite. Here the supremum is taken over all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Obviously $\mathcal{S}_{1,h} \subseteq \mathscr{S}$ is a Banach space which increases with h. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}_{1,h}$ contains all finite linear combinations of Hermite functions $h_\alpha(x) = H_\alpha(x)e^{-|x|^2/2}$, where H_α is the Hermite polynomial of order $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d$. Since such linear combinations are dense in $\mathscr{S}$, it follows that the (L^2-)dual $(\mathcal{S}_{1,h})'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{1,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a Banach space which contains $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The *Gelfand-Shilov space* $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the projective limit of $\mathcal{S}_{1,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with respect to h. This implies that

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcap_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{1,h}(\mathbf{R}^d) \tag{1.3}$$

is a Fréchet space with semi norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_{1,h}}$, $h > 0$.

The *Gelfand-Shilov distribution space* $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the inductive limit of $\mathcal{S}_{1,h}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with respect to $h > 0$. Hence

$$\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcup_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{1,h}'(\mathbf{R}^d). \tag{1.3}'$$

We remark that $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the dual of $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, also in topological sense (cf. [33]).

From now on we let $\mathscr{F}$ be the Fourier transform, given by

$$(\mathscr{F}f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, dx$$

when $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Here $\langle\, \cdot\, ,\, \cdot\, \rangle$ denotes the usual scalar product on $\mathbf{R}^d$. The map $\mathscr{F}$ extends uniquely to homeomorphisms on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and restricts to homeomorphisms on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Next we recall some mapping properties of Gelfand-Shilov spaces under short-time Fourier transforms. Let $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be fixed. For every $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, the *short-time Fourier transform* $V_\phi f$ is the distribution on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$ defined by the formula

$$(V_\phi f)(x,\xi) = \mathscr{F}(f\, \overline{\phi(\,\cdot - x)})(\xi) = (f, \phi(\,\cdot - x)e^{i\langle\, \cdot\, ,\xi\rangle}). \tag{1.4}$$

We recall that if $T(f,\phi) \equiv V_\phi f$ when $f, \phi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then T is uniquely extendable to sequently continuous mappings

$$T : \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \bigcap C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d}),$$

$$T : \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$$

(cf. [9, 42]). We also note that $V_\phi f$ takes the form

$$V_\phi f(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(y)\overline{\phi(y-x)} e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle}\, dy \tag{1.4}'$$

for admissible f.

There are several characterizations of Gelfand-Shilov spaces and their distribution spaces. For example, they can easily be characterized by Hermite functions and other related functions (cf. e. g. [21, 29]). They can also be characterized by suitable estimates of their Fourier and Short-time Fourier transforms (cf. [8, 27, 42]).

1.2. **Weight functions.** Next we recall some facts on weight functions. A *weight* on $\mathbf{R}^d$ is a positive function $\omega \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $1/\omega \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. In the sequel we assume that ω is *moderate*, or v-*moderate* for some positive function $v \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. This means that

$$\omega(x+y) \lesssim \omega(x)v(y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d. \tag{1.5}$$

Here $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \le cB$ for a suitable constant $c > 0$, and for future references, we write $A \asymp B$ when $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. We note that (1.5) implies that ω fulfills the estimates

$$v(-x)^{-1} \lesssim \omega(x) \lesssim v(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d. \tag{1.6}$$

We let $\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the sets of all moderate weights on $\mathbf{R}^d$.

It can be proved that if $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then ω is v-moderate for some $v(x) = e^{r|x|}$, provided the positive constant r is chosen large enough (cf. [25]). In particular, (1.6) shows that for any $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^d)$, there is a constant $r > 0$ such that

$$e^{-r|x|} \lesssim \omega(x) \lesssim e^{r|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d. \tag{1.7}$$

We say that v is *submultiplicative* if v is *even* and (1.5) holds with $\omega = v$. In the sequel, v always stand for a submultiplicative weight if nothing else is stated.

1.3. **Classes of matrices.** It is suitable for us to consider matrix classes with respect to general index sets.

Definition 1.1. Let $p \in (0, \infty]$, J_1 and J_2 be index sets, $\boldsymbol{J} = J_2 \times J_1$ and let ω be a map from $\boldsymbol{J}$ to $\mathbf{R}_+$.

(1) The set $\mathbb{U}_0'(\boldsymbol{J})$ consists of all formal matrices $(a(j_2, j_1))_{(j_2,j_1)\in\boldsymbol{J}}$ whose matrix elements $a(j_2, j_1)$ belongs to $\mathbf{C}$;

(2) The set $\mathbb{U}_0(\boldsymbol{J})$ consists of all $A = (a(j_2, j_1))_{(j_2,j_1)\in\boldsymbol{J}} \in \mathbb{U}_0'(\boldsymbol{J})$ such that at most finite numbers of $a(j_2, j_1)$ are non-zero;

(3) The set $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega, \boldsymbol{J})$ consists of all $A = (a(j_2, j_1))_{(j_2,j_1)\in\boldsymbol{J}} \in \mathbb{U}_0'(\boldsymbol{J})$ such that

$$\|A\|_{\mathbb{U}^p(\omega,\boldsymbol{J})} \equiv \|a \cdot \omega\|_{\ell^p(\boldsymbol{J})}$$

is finite.

For conveniency we set $\mathbb{U}^p(\boldsymbol{J}) = \mathbb{U}^p(\omega, \boldsymbol{J})$ when $\omega = 1$ everywhere in Definition 1.1. Furthermore, if $J_1 = J_2 = J$, then we set $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega, J) = \mathbb{U}^p(\omega, \boldsymbol{J})$ and $\mathbb{U}^p(J) = \mathbb{U}^p(\boldsymbol{J})$.

1.4. **Modulation spaces.** Next we define modulation spaces. Let $\phi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$. For any $p, q \in (0.\infty]$ and $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, the (standard) modulation space $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the set of all $f \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $V_\phi f \in L^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and we equip $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with the quasi-norm

$$f \mapsto \|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \equiv \|V_\phi f\|_{L^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}. \tag{1.8}$$

For conveniency we also set $M^p_{(\omega)} = M^{p,p}_{(\omega)}$, and remark that $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is one of the most common types of modulation spaces. It was introduced by Feichtinger in [17] for certain choices of ω. We also set $M^{p,q} = M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ and $M^p = M^p_{(\omega)}$ when $\omega = 1$.

In the following proposition we list some properties for modulation, and refer to [17–19, 24, 41] for proofs.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $r \in (0,1]$, $p, p_j, q_j \in (0,\infty]$ and $\omega, \omega_j, v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $j = 1,2$, be such that $r \le p, p_j, q, q_j$, $p_1 \le p_2$, $q_1 \le q_2$, $\omega_2 \lesssim \omega_1$, and let ω be v-moderate. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if $\phi \in M^r_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$, then $f \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, if and only if (1.8) is finite. In particular, $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is independent of the choice of $\phi \in M^r_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$. Moreover, $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a quasi-Banach space under the quasi-norm in (1.8), and different choices of ϕ give rise to equivalent quasi-norms;*

(2) *$M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

1.5. **Gabor analysis and modulation spaces.** Next we define Gabor atoms of certain orders.

Definition 1.3. Let $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be submultiplicative, J be a countable set and let $\psi_1 \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then ψ_1 is called a *Gabor atom* of order $p \in (0,1]$ with respect to v, if $\psi_1 \in M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$, and there exist $\psi_2 \in M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ and lattices $\{x_j\}_{j\in J}$ and $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in J}$ in $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that

$$\big\{\psi_1(\,\cdot - x_j)e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi_k\rangle}\big\}_{j,k\in J} \quad \text{and} \quad \big\{\psi_2(\,\cdot - x_j)e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi_k\rangle}\big\}_{j,k\in J}$$

are dual Gabor frames to each others.

Remark 1.4. By [23, Theorem S] it follows that every $\psi \in M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ is a Gabor atom of order 1.

Remark 1.5. Let $p \in (0,1]$ and $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By the previous remark, [23, Theorem S], and Remark 1.10 and Theorem 3.7 in [44] it follows that the set of Gabor atoms of order p contains $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)\setminus 0$. In particular, the set of such atoms is non-empty.

We also remark that by [30] it follows that the canonical dual window of an element in $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ belongs to $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. In fact, let $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be as in [30], then it is clear that $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap \mathscr{F}(K_1(\mathbf{R}^d)) = \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ in view of [8]. By [30] it follows that we may choose both ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and their dual windows in $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Assume that $\omega, v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $p, q \in (0,\infty]$ and $r \in (0,1]$ are such that $r \le p, q$ and ω is v-moderate, and ψ_1, ψ_2, $\{x_j\}_{j\in J}$ and $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in J}$ are the same as in Definition 1.3. By [44, Theorem 3.7] it follows that $f \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ belongs to $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, if and only if f is given by

$$f = \sum_{j,k\in J} c_{j,k} e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi\rangle_k}\psi_1(\,\cdot - x_j),$$

where $c_{j,k} = (V_{\psi_2}f)(x_j,\xi_k)$ and satisfies

$$\left(\sum_{k\in J}\left(\sum_{j\in J}|c_{j,k}\omega(x_j,\xi_k)|^p\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty. \tag{1.9}$$

Furthermore, the left-hand side of (1.9) defines a quasi-norm in $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$, which is equivalent to $f \mapsto \|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}$. (For more facts of such properties, see e. g. [18, 19, 24, 34, 35, 44].)

Next we introduce topological spaces of non-uniform Gabor expansions.

Definition 1.6. Let $p \in (0,1]$, $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be submultiplicative, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be v-moderate, and let $\psi \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be a Gabor atom of order p with respect to v. Then $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \mathcal{M}^p_{\psi,(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the set of all non-uniform Gabor expansions

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{i\langle\, \cdot\, ,\xi_n\rangle}\psi(\,\cdot - x_n) \tag{1.10}$$

such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} \equiv \inf\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.11}$$

is finite, where $\{\,(x_n,\xi_n) \in ;\, n \in \mathbf{N}\,\}$ is an arbitrary countable set in $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$. Here the infimum in (1.11) is taken over all representatives (1.10) of f.

Remark 1.7. By Proposition 2.2 in Section 2 it follows that $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is independent of ψ in Definition 1.3.

1.6. **Pseudo-differential operators.** Next we recall some properties in pseudo-differential calculus. Let $\mathbf{M}(d,\Omega)$ be the set of $d\times d$-matrices with entries in the set Ω, $a \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and let $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ be fixed. Then the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is the linear and continuous operator on $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, given by

$$(\operatorname{Op}_A(a)f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d}\iint a(x-A(x-y),\xi)f(y)e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\,dyd\xi. \tag{1.12}$$

For general $a \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is defined as the continuous operator from $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with distribution kernel

$$K_{a,A}(x,y) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}(\mathscr{F}_2^{-1}a)(x - A(x-y), x-y). \tag{1.13}$$

Here $\mathscr{F}_2F$ is the partial Fourier transform of $F(x,y) \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ with respect to the y variable. This definition makes sense since the mappings

$$\mathscr{F}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad F(x,y) \mapsto F(x-A(x-y),x-y) \tag{1.14}$$

are homeomorphisms on $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. In particular, the map $a \mapsto K_{a,A}$ is a homeomorphism on $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

The standard (Kohn-Nirenberg) representation, $a(x,D) = \operatorname{Op}(a)$, and the Weyl quantization $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)$ of a are obtained by choosing $A = 0$ and $A = \frac{1}{2}I$, respectively, in (1.12) and (1.13), where I is the identity matrix

Remark 1.8. By Fourier's inversion formula, (1.13) and the kernel theorem [31, Theorem 2.2] for operators from Gelfand-Shilov spaces to their duals, it follows that the map $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is bijective from $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to the set of all linear and continuous operators from $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

By Remark 1.8, it follows that for every $a_1 \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, there is a unique $a_2 \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $\operatorname{Op}_{A_1}(a_1) = \operatorname{Op}_{A_2}(a_2)$. By Section 18.5 in [28], the relation between a_1 and a_2 is given by

$$\operatorname{Op}_{A_1}(a_1) = \operatorname{Op}_{A_2}(a_2) \quad \iff \quad a_2(x,\xi) = e^{i\langle (A_1 - A_2)D_\xi, D_x\rangle} a_1(x,\xi). \tag{1.15}$$

Here we note that the operator $e^{i\langle AD_\xi, D_x\rangle}$ is homeomorphic on $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and its dual (cf. [5,6,49]). For modulation spaces we have the following subresult of Proposition 2.8 in [46].

Proposition 1.9. *Let $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$, $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, $p, q \in (0, \infty]$, $\phi, a \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and let $T_A = e^{i\langle AD_\xi, D_x\rangle}$. If $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$ and*

$$\omega_A(x,\xi,\eta,y) = \omega(x + Ay, \xi + A^*\eta, \eta, y),$$

then T_A from $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ extends uniquely to a homeomorphism from $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $M^{p,q}_{(\omega_A)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and

$$\|T_A a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega_A)}} \asymp \|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}. \tag{1.16}$$

We also recall that $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is a rank-one operator, i. e.

$$\operatorname{Op}_A(a) f = (2\pi)^{-d/2}(f, f_2) f_1, \qquad f \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d), \tag{1.17}$$

for some $f_1, f_2 \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, if and only if a is equal to the *A-Wigner distribution*

$$W^A_{f_1,f_2}(x,\xi) \equiv \mathscr{F}(f_1(x + A\cdot)\overline{f_2(x - (I-A)\cdot)})(\xi), \tag{1.18}$$

of f_1 and f_2. If in addition $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then $W^A_{f_1,f_2}$ takes the form

$$W^A_{f_1,f_2}(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x + Ay)\overline{f_2(x - (I-A)y)} e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle}\, dy. \tag{1.19}$$

(Cf. [3].) Since the Weyl case is of peculiar interests, we also set $W_{f_1,f_2} = W^A_{f_1,f_2}$ when $A = \frac{1}{2}I$.

1.7. **Schatten-von Neumann classes and nuclear operators.** Next we recall some Schatten-von Neumann properties of operators, and start to consider a general situation, involving linear operators from a (quasi-)Banach space to an other (quasi-)Banach space. (Cf. e. g. [2, 37, 43, 47, 48].) Let $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ be (quasi-)Banach spaces and let T be a linear operator from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$. The singular value of T of order $j \ge 1$ is defined as

$$\sigma_j(T) = \sigma_j(T; \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \equiv \inf \|T - T_0\|_{\mathscr{B}_1 \to \mathscr{B}_2},$$

where the infimum is taken over all linear operators T_0 from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$ of rank at most $j-1$. The operator T is said to be a Schatten-von Neumann operator of order $p \in (0,\infty]$ if

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)} \equiv \|\{\sigma_j(T)\}_{j\geq 1}\|_{\ell^p} \tag{1.20}$$

is finite. The set of Schatten-von Neumann operators from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$ of order $p \in (0,\infty]$ is denoted by $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$. We observe that $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$ is contained in $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$, the set of compact operators from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$, when $p<\infty$. Furthermore, $\mathscr{I}_\infty(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$ agrees with $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$, the set of linear bounded operators from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$.

If $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ and

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2 \subseteq \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

with continuous embeddings, then we let $s_{A,p}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$ be the set of all $a \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $\operatorname{Op}_A(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$, and we set

$$\|a\|_{s_{A,p}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)} \equiv \|\operatorname{Op}_A(a)\|_{\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}.$$

Next we define nuclear operators. Let $\mathscr{B}_0$ be a Banach space with dual $\mathscr{B}_0'$, $\mathscr{B}$ be a quasi-Banach space, $r \in (0,1]$ and let T be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathscr{B}_0$ to $\mathscr{B}$. Then T is called *r-nuclear* from $\mathscr{B}_0$ to $\mathscr{B}$, if there are sequences $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathscr{B}_0'$ and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ such that

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^\infty e_j \otimes \varepsilon_j \tag{1.21}$$

with convergence in $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|\varepsilon_j\|_{\mathscr{B}_0'}^r \|e_j\|_{\mathscr{B}}^r < \infty. \tag{1.22}$$

The set of r-nuclear operators from $\mathscr{B}_0$ to $\mathscr{B}$ is denoted by $\mathscr{N}_r(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$, and we equip this set by the quasi-norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{N}_r(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})} \equiv \inf\left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|\varepsilon_j\|_{\mathscr{B}_0'}^r \|e_j\|_{\mathscr{B}}^r\right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representatives $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathscr{B}_0'$ and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ such that (1.21) and (1.22) hold true.

We note that (1.21) is the same as

$$Tf = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle f,\varepsilon_j\rangle e_j.$$

By straight-forward computations it follows that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{N}_r(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})}$ is a quasi-norm of order r, and that $\mathscr{N}_r(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$ is complete. Hence, $\mathscr{N}_r(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$ is a quasi-norm space of order $r>0$.

Later on we need the following result which shows that p-nuclearity is stable under linear continuous mappings.

Proposition 1.10. *Let $p, r \in (0,1]$, $\mathscr{B}_k$ be quasi-Banach spaces of order p, $\mathscr{B}_{0,k}$ be Banach spaces, $k = 1, 2$, and let*

$$T_1 : \mathscr{B}_{0,2} \to \mathscr{B}_{0,1} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 : \mathscr{B}_1 \to \mathscr{B}_2.$$

Then the following is true:

(1) *if $T \in \mathscr{I}_r(\mathscr{B}_{0,1}, \mathscr{B}_1)$, then $T_2 \circ T \circ T_1 \in \mathscr{I}_r(\mathscr{B}_{0,2}, \mathscr{B}_2)$, and*

$$\|T_2 \circ T \circ T_1\|_{\mathscr{I}_r(\mathscr{B}_{0,2},\mathscr{B}_2)} \lesssim \|T_1\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_{0,2},\mathscr{B}_{0,1})}\|T_2\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_r(\mathscr{B}_{0,1},\mathscr{B}_1)}; \tag{1.23}$$

(2) *if $T \in \mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_{0,1}, \mathscr{B}_1)$, then $T_2 \circ T \circ T_1 \in \mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_{0,2}, \mathscr{B}_2)$, and*

$$\|T_2 \circ T \circ T_1\|_{\mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_{0,2},\mathscr{B}_2)} \le \|T_1\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_{0,2},\mathscr{B}_{0,1})}\|T_2\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}\|T\|_{\mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_{0,1},\mathscr{B}_1)}. \tag{1.24}$$

Proposition 4.1 is well-known in the literature. For example, (1) follows immediately from (4.5) and (4.6) in [7]. In order to be self-contained and show some ideas we give a short proof of (2).

Proof. Let e_j and ε_j be the same as in Subsection 1.7 with $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_{0,1}$ in place of $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_{0,1}$, respectively, and let $f \in \mathscr{B}_{0,1}$, $g \in \mathscr{B}_{0,2}$. Then

$$(T \circ T_1)g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, T_1^* \varepsilon_j \rangle e_j \quad \text{and} \quad (T_2 \circ T)f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \varepsilon_j \rangle T_2 e_j$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_1^* \varepsilon_j\|_{\mathscr{B}'_{0,2}}^p \|e_j\|_{\mathscr{B}_1}^p \le \|T_1\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_{0,2},\mathscr{B}_{0,1})}^p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\varepsilon_j\|_{\mathscr{B}'_{0,1}}^p \|e_j\|_{\mathscr{B}_1}^p.$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\varepsilon_j\|_{\mathscr{B}'_{0,1}}^p \|T_2 e_j\|_{\mathscr{B}_2}^p \le \|T_2\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}^p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\varepsilon_j\|_{\mathscr{B}'_{0,1}}^p \|e_j\|_{\mathscr{B}_1}^p$$

The result now follows by combining these estimates and taking the infimum over all representatives in (1.22). □

If $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$ and

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{B}_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

with continuous embeddings, then we let $u_{A,r}(\mathscr{B}_0, \mathscr{B})$ be the set of all $a \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $\operatorname{Op}_A(a) \in \mathscr{N}_r(\mathscr{B}_0, \mathscr{B})$, and we set

$$\|a\|_{u_{A,r}(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})} \equiv \|\operatorname{Op}_A(a)\|_{\mathscr{N}_r(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})}.$$

2. Identification and minimization properties of $M^p_{(v)}$ and $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega, J)$, when $p \in (0,1]$

In this section we show that $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ agrees with $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ when $p \in (0,1]$. We also prove that $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is minimal among those quasi-Banach spaces $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which satisfies (0.1), (1.2) and $\psi \in \mathscr{B}$ for some Gabor atom ψ of order p with respect to v. By using similar technique we show analogous minimality properties of $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega, J)$.

2.1. Minimality of $M^p_{(\omega)}$.

First we show that $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}$ is a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm (1.11).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $p \in (0,1]$, $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be submultiplicative, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be v-moderate and let $\psi \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be a Gabor atom of order p with respect to v. Then $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}$ is a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm* (1.11).

Proof. First we prove that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} \neq 0$ when $f \neq 0$. Choose $(x_n, \xi_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that (1.10) holds. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|V_\phi f(x,\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|^p &\le \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|\, |V_\phi\psi(x-x_n,\xi-\xi_n)\omega(x,\xi)|\right)^p \\
&\le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p |V_\phi\psi(x-x_n,\xi-\xi_n)v(x-x_n,\xi-\xi_n)|^p \\
&\le \|\psi\|_{M^\infty_{(v)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p.
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking the supremum over all (x,ξ) and the infimum over all representatives (1.10), we get

$$
0 < \|f\|_{M^\infty_{(\omega)}} \le \|\psi\|_{M^\infty_{(v)}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}},
$$

which shows that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} = 0$, if and only if $f = 0$.

Next let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, $f, g \in \mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and choose representatives (1.10) of f and

$$
g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\eta_n\rangle}\psi(\,\cdot\, - y_n)
$$

such that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p \le \|f\|^p_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n \omega(y_n, \eta_n)|^p \le \|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

This gives,

$$\|f+g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big(|(a_n \omega(x_n, \xi_n)|^p + |b_n \omega(y_n, \eta_n)|^p \Big)$$

$$\le \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p + \|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p + \varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\|f+g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p + \|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p,$$

since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrarily chosen. This implies that $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}$ is a quasi-normed space of order p.

The completeness follows by standard arguments. More precisely, let $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then there is an increasing sequence, $\{m_k\}_{k\ge 1}$, of positive integers such that

$$\|f_{m_k} - f_{m_{k-1}}\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p \le 2^{-k}, \qquad k \ge 2.$$

For every $k \ge 0$, there are sequences

$$\{a_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{C}, \quad \{x_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$$

such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} e^{i\langle \cdot, \xi_{n,k}\rangle} \psi(\cdot - x_{n,k}) = \begin{cases} f_{m_1}, & k = 1 \\ f_{m_k} - f_{m_{k-1}}, & k \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} \omega(x_{n,k}, \xi_{n,k})|^p = \begin{cases} \|f_{m_1}\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p + 1, & k = 0 \\ \|f_{m_k} - f_{m_{k-1}}\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}^p + 2^{-k}, & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Now let $\{(c_n, z_n, \zeta_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of $\{(a_{n,k}, x_{n,k}, \xi_{n,k})\}_{n,k=0}^{\infty}$, and let

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{i\langle \cdot, \zeta_n\rangle} \psi(\cdot - z_n).$$

Then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} < \infty$, and

$$\|f - f_{m_k}\|^p_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} = \left\| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (f_{m_j} - f_{m_{j-1}}) \right\|^p_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,j}(x_{n,j}, \xi_{n,j})|^p \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left(\|f_{m_j} - f_{m_{j-1}})\|^p_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} + 2^{-j} \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \to 0$$

as k tends to ∞. This in turn gives

$$\|f - f_m\|^p_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} \leq \|f - f_{m_k}\|^p_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} + \|f_{m_k} - f_m\|^p_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} \to 0,$$

as m and k tends to ∞, and the completeness of $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ follows. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let $p \in (0, 1]$, $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be submultiplicative $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be v-moderate and let $\psi \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be a Gabor atom of order p with respect to v. Then $\mathcal{M}^p_{\psi,(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.*

For the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p \in (0, 1]$, $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be submultiplicative, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be v-moderate, $\psi \in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and set*

$$\psi_X = e^{i\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle} \psi(\cdot - x) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_X(y, \eta) = \omega(y - x, \eta - \xi)$$

when $X = (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$. Then ω_X is v-moderate for every $X \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$, and $\|\psi_X\|_{M^p_{(\omega_X)}}$ is independent of $X \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$, when the window function of the modulation space norm is fixed.

Proof. The fact that ω_X is v-moderate is a straight-forward consequence of the fact that v is submultiplicative. The details are left for the reader.

We have

$$|V_\phi \psi_X \omega_X| = |V_\phi \psi(\cdot - X)\omega(\cdot - X)|,$$

and the X-independency of $\|\psi_X\|_{M^p_{(\omega_X)}}$ follows by applying the L^p quasi-norm on the last equality. □

Proof of Proposition 2.2. By [44, Theorem 3.7], it follows that $M^p_{(\omega)}$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}$.

We need to prove the opposite embedding. Let $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all expansions in (1.10) such that at most finite numbers of a_n are non-zero. By straight-forward arguments of approximations it follows

that $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is contained and dense in both $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The result therefore follows if we prove

$$\|f\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} \tag{2.1}$$

when $f \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Assume that $f \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let ϕ be Gaussian, and choose a representation (1.10) such that

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} + \varepsilon.$$

By straight-forward arguments of approximations, we may assume that a_n are non-zero only for finite numbers of n. Also let $\psi_n = \psi_{X_n}$ and $\omega_n = \omega_{X_n}$, $X_n = (x_n,\xi_n)$, where ψ_X and ω_X are the same as in Lemma 2.3. Then there is a lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$, where $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$, and such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}} &\asymp \left(\sum_{(j,\iota)\in\Lambda} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n V_\phi(e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi_n\rangle}\psi(\,\cdot\,-x_n))(j,\iota)\omega(j,\iota)\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\le \left(\sum_{(j,\iota)\in\Lambda}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left|a_n V_\phi(e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi_n\rangle}\psi(\,\cdot\,-x_n))(j,\iota)\omega(j,\iota)\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\le \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p \left(\sum_{(j,\iota)\in\Lambda} |V_\phi\psi_n(j,\iota)v_n(j,\iota)|^p\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\asymp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p \|\psi_n\|^p_{M^p_{(v_n)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&= \|\psi\|_{M^p_{(v)}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \|\psi\|_{M^p_{(v)}}\big(\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}} + \varepsilon\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is chosen arbitrarily, (2.1) follows. □

By the previous proposition it follows that $\mathcal{M}^p_{\psi,(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is independent of the choice of ψ, which justifies the usage of the notation $\mathcal{M}^p_{(\omega)}$ instead of $\mathcal{M}^p_{\psi,(\omega)}$ above.

We are now prepared to formulate and prove the extension of Feichtinger's minimization property in [16] to the case of quasi-Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\omega, v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be such that ω is v-moderate, $p \in (0,1]$, $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be a quasi-Banach space such that the following is true:*

(1) *the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ satisfies (1.2);*

(2) *$\mathscr{B}$ is invariant under time-frequency shifts $f \mapsto e^{i\langle\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}f(\cdot - x)$, and*

$$\|e^{i\langle\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}f(\cdot - x)\|_{\mathscr{B}} \lesssim \omega(x,\xi)\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}};$$

(3) *$\mathscr{B}$ contains a Gabor atom of order p with respect to v.*

Then $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathscr{B}$.

If $p = 1$ and $\omega = v$, then Theorem 2.4 (3) is equivalent to $\mathscr{B} \bigcap M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \neq \{0\}$ in view of [23, Theorem S]. Hence, Theorem 2.4 extends the Feichtinger's minimizing property.

After the previous preparations, the proof is essentially the same as in the Banach space case, $p = 1$. In order to be self-contained we here present the arguments.

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is dense in $\mathscr{B}$, where $\mathcal{M}_0$ is the same as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. By the assumptions, there is a Gabor atom ψ of order p with respect to v. By Proposition 2.2, $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ consists of all f in (1.10) which satisfies (1.11).

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p &\le \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{i\langle\cdot\,,\xi_n\rangle}\psi(\cdot - x_n)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p \\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \|e^{i\langle\cdot\,,\xi_n\rangle}\psi(\cdot - x_n)\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p \lesssim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n\omega(x_n,\xi_n)|^p.\end{aligned}$$

By taking the infimum of the right-hand side, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}},$$

and the result follows. □

We also have corresponding maximality property of Theorem 2.4 of translation and modulation invariant spaces.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be submultiplicative, $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be a Banach space such that the following is true:*

(1) *$\mathscr{B}$ is invariant under time-frequency shifts, $f \mapsto e^{i\langle\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}f(\cdot - x)$, and*

$$\|e^{i\langle\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}f(\cdot - x)\|_{\mathscr{B}} \lesssim v(x,\xi)\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}};$$

(2) *$\mathscr{B}$ contains a Gabor atom of order 1.*

Then $\mathscr{B}$ is continuously embedded in $M^\infty_{(1/v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

We need some preparations for the proof. We note that $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ in view of Theorem 2.4. Let $\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{B}'}$ be the dual norm of $\phi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with respect to the L^2 form be defined by

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{B}'} \equiv \sup |(f,\phi)_{L^2}|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all $f \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} \le 1$, and let the L^2-dual $\mathscr{B}'$ of $\mathscr{B}$ be the completion of $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ under this norm (cf. [43]).

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathscr{B}'$ be the L^2 dual of $\mathscr{B}$, and let Ω be the set of all $f \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} \le 1$. Then $\mathscr{B}'$ contains at least one element in Σ_1, and if $\phi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi(\cdot - x)e^{i\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle}\|_{\mathscr{B}'} &\equiv \sup_{f\in\Omega}\big(|(f,\phi(\cdot - x)e^{i\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle})|\big)\\ = \sup_{f\in\Omega}\big(|(f(\cdot + x)e^{-i\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle},\phi)|\big) &\le \sup_{f\in\Omega}\big(\|f(\cdot + x)e^{-i\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle}\|_{\mathscr{B}}\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{B}'}\big)\\ &\lesssim \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{B}'}v(-x,-\xi) = \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{B}'}v(x,\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathscr{B}'$ is translation and modulation invariant.

By Theorem 2.4 it follows that

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{B}'.$$

This gives

$$\|f\|_{M^\infty_{(1/v)}} \asymp \sup_{\|\phi\|_{M^1_{(v)}}\le 1} |(f,\phi)_{L^2}| \lesssim \sup_{\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{B}'}\le 1} |(f,\phi)_{L^2}| \le \|f\|_{\mathscr{B}},$$

and the result follows. □

We also have the following characterization of certain modulation spaces. Here let $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ be the set of all

$$F = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_{1,j} \otimes f_{2,j} \tag{2.2}$$

such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{1,j}\|^p_{M^p_{(v_1)}} \|f_{2,j}\|^p_{M^p_{(v_2)}} < \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$v(x_1,x_2,\xi_1,\xi_2) = v_1(x_1,\xi_1)v_2(x_2,\xi_2), \tag{2.4}$$

and $v_k \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_k})$ are submultiplicative, $k = 1, 2$. We equip $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ with the norm

$$F \mapsto \|F\|_{M^p_{0,(v)}} \equiv \inf\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{1,j}\|^p_{M^p_{(v_1)}} \|f_{2,j}\|^p_{M^p_{(v_2)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representatives $\{f_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq M^p_{(v_k)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_k})$, $k = 1, 2$ and such that (2.2) and (2.3) hold.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $v_k \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_k})$ be submultiplicative, $k = 1, 2$, let $p \in (0, 1]$, and let v be given by (2.4). Then $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2}) = M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ with equivalent quasi-norms.*

We remark that proofs of Proposition 2.6 in the special case $p = 1$ have been demonstrated by H. Feichtinger in different occasions. The proof of the general case here below is based on arguments used by H. Feichtinger in his proofs of the case $p = 1$.

Proof. Since $M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ is a quasi-Banach space of order p, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_{1,j} \otimes f_{2,j} \right\|^p_{M^p_{(v)}} \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{1,j} \otimes f_{2,j}\|^p_{M^p_{(v)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{1,j}\|^p_{M^p_{(v_1)}} \|f_{2,j}\|^p_{M^p_{(v_2)}},$$

giving that $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2}) \subseteq M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$.

On the other hand, it is clear that $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ contains a Gabor atom of order p with respect to v, and that

$$\|e^{i\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle} F(\cdot - x)\|_{M^p_{0,(v)}} \lesssim v(x,\xi)\|F\|_{M^p_{0,(v)}}, \qquad x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2}$$

when $F \in M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$. Furthermore, in view of the proof of Proposition 2.1 it follows that $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ is complete, and thereby is a quasi-Banach space of order p.

By Theorem 2.4 it now follows that $M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ is continuously embedded in $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$. Hence $M^p_{0,(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2}) = M^p_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$, and the result follows. □

2.2. **Minimality of $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega, \boldsymbol{J})$.** The following result is the matrix version of Theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $p \in (0, 1]$, J_1 and J_2 be index sets, $\boldsymbol{J} = J_2 \times J_1$, ω be a positive function on $\boldsymbol{J}$, $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathbb{U}'_0(\boldsymbol{J})$ be a quasi-Banach space such that the following conditions hold true:*

(1) *$\|A_1 + A_2\|^p_{\mathscr{B}} \le \|A_1\|^p_{\mathscr{B}} + \|A_2\|^p_{\mathscr{B}}$ when $A_1, A_2 \in \mathscr{B}$;*

(2) *$A_{k_2,k_1} \equiv (\delta_{j_1,k_1}\delta_{j_2,k_2})_{(j_2,j_1)\in\boldsymbol{J}}$ belongs to $\mathscr{B}$ for every $(k_2, k_1) \in \boldsymbol{J}$, and*

$$\|A_{k_2,k_1}\|_{\mathscr{B}} \le C\omega(k_2, k_1),$$

for some constant $C > 0$ which is independent of $(k_2, k_1) \in \boldsymbol{J}$.

Then $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega, \boldsymbol{J})$ is continuously embedded in $\mathscr{B}$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{U}_0(\boldsymbol{J})$ is dense in $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega, \boldsymbol{J})$ and $\mathbb{U}_0(\boldsymbol{J}) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ by the assumptions, it suffices to prove

$$\|A\|_{\mathscr{B}} \le C\|A\|_{\mathbb{U}^p(\omega,\boldsymbol{J})}, \quad A \in \mathbb{U}_0(\boldsymbol{J}).$$

If $A \in \mathbb{U}_0(\boldsymbol{J})$, then

$$\|A\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p = \left\| \sum_{j_1,j_2} a(j_2,j_1) A_{j_2,j_1} \right\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p \le \sum_{j_1,j_2} |a(j_2,j_1)|^p \|A_{j_2,j_1}\|_{\mathscr{B}}^p$$

$$\le C^p \sum_{j_1,j_2} |a(j_2,j_1)|^p \omega(j_2,j_1)^p = C^p \|A\|_{\mathbb{U}^p(\omega,\boldsymbol{J})}^p,$$

and the result follows. □

3. Schatten-von Neumann properties for operators with kernels in modulation spaces

In this section we use results from the previous section to deduce Schatten-von Neumann properties of operators with kernels in $M_{(\omega)}^p$. At the same time we deduce analogous properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in $M_{(\omega)}^p$.

More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$, $p,q,r \in (0,\infty]$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{r} - 1 \ge \max\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1, 0\right) + \max\left(\frac{1}{q} - 1, 0\right) + \frac{1}{q}, \tag{3.1}$$

and let $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$ and $\omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be such that

$$\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,\eta)} \lesssim \omega_0(x + A(y-x), \eta + A^*(\xi-\eta), \xi-\eta, y-x) \tag{3.2}$$

holds true. Then

$$M_{(\omega_0)}^r(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \subseteq s_{A,q}(M_{(\omega_1)}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d), M_{(\omega_2)}^p(\mathbf{R}^d)). \tag{3.3}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on analogous Schatten-von Neumann properties of matrix operators.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $p,q,r \in (0,\infty]$ be such that (3.1) holds, J_k be index sets, ω_k be positive functions on J_k, $k=1,2$, let $\boldsymbol{J} = J_2 \times J_1$ and suppose*

$$\frac{\omega_2(j_2)}{\omega_1(j_1)} \lesssim \omega(j_2,j_1), \quad (j_2,j_1) \in \boldsymbol{J}.$$

Then $\mathbb{U}^r(\omega,\boldsymbol{J}) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_q(\ell_{(\omega_1)}^\infty(J_1), \ell_{(\omega_2)}^p(J_2))$.

We need the following lemma for the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p,q \in (0,\infty]$, and let $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ be quasi-Banach spaces such that (0.2) holds with $\mathscr{B}_2$ in place of $\mathscr{B}$. Then*

$$\sigma_{j_1+j_2+1}(T_1+T_2) \le 2^{\max(\frac{1}{p}-1,0)}(\sigma_{j_1+1}(T_1) + \sigma_{j_2+1}(T_2)) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\|T_1+T_2\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)} \le 2^{\max(\frac{1}{p}-1,0)+\max(\frac{1}{q}-1,0)+\frac{1}{q}}(\|T_1\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}+\|T_2\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}) \tag{3.5}$$

when $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$.

Proof. Let $T_1, T_2, T_{1,j}, T_{2,j} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)$ be such that $T_{1,j}$ and $T_{2,j}$ are operators of rank at most $j-1$, and let $f \in \mathscr{B}_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(T_1+T_2-(T_{1,j_1}+T_{2,j_2}))f\|_{\mathscr{B}_2} \\ \le 2^{\max(\frac{1}{p}-1,0)}\|(T_1-T_{1,j_1})f\|_{\mathscr{B}_2}+\|(T_2-T_{2,j_2})f\|_{\mathscr{B}_2},\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_1+T_2-(T_{1,j}+T_{2,j})\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)} \\ \le 2^{\max(\frac{1}{p}-1,0)}(\|T_1-T_{1,j}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}+\|T_2-T_{2,j}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{B}_1,\mathscr{B}_2)}).\end{aligned}$$

By taking the infimum on the right-hand side over all possible $T_{1,j}$ and $T_{2,j}$ we obtain (3.4).

By letting $j_1 = j_2 = j$ in (3.4) and using the fact that $\sigma_j(T)$ is non-increasing with respect to j we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_1+T_2\|_{\mathscr{I}_q} &\le \left(2\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sigma_{2j+1}(T_1+T_2)^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\le 2^{\max(\frac{1}{p}-1,0)+\frac{1}{q}}\|\{\sigma_j(T_1)+\sigma_j(T_2)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\ell^q(\mathbf{Z}_+)} \\ &\le 2^{\max(\frac{1}{p}-1,0)+\max(\frac{1}{q}-1,0)+\frac{1}{q}}(\|\{\sigma_j(T_1)\|_{\ell^q(\mathbf{Z}_+)}+\|\sigma_j(T_2)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\ell^q(\mathbf{Z}_+)} \\ &= 2^{\max(\frac{1}{p}-1,0)+\max(\frac{1}{q}-1,0)+\frac{1}{q}}(\|T_1\|_{\mathscr{I}_q}+\|T_2\|_{\mathscr{I}_q}). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We may assume that equality holds in (3.1). Let $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{I}_q(\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(J_1), \ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(J_2))$ and $\mathscr{B}_2 = \ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(J_2)$. Then $A_1+A_2 \in \mathscr{B}$ when $A_1, A_2 \in \mathscr{B}$, and (3.5) shows that

$$\|A_1+A_2\|^r_{\mathscr{B}} \le \|A_1\|^r_{\mathscr{B}}+\|A_2\|^r_{\mathscr{B}}, \quad A_1, A_2 \in \mathscr{B}.$$

Furthermore, if A_{j_2,j_1} are the same as in Proposition 2.7, then $A_{j_2,j_1} \in \mathscr{B}$ since $A_{j_2,j_1} \in \mathbb{U}_0$. For $f \in \ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(J_1)$ with $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}} \le 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_{j_{0,2},j_{0,1}}f\|_{\ell^p_{(\omega_2)}} &= \left(\sum_{j_2}\left|\delta_{j_2,j_{0,2}}\sum_{j_1}\delta_{j_1,j_{0,1}}f(j_1)\omega_2(j_2)\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= |f(j_{0,1})\omega_2(j_{0,2})| \le C\frac{\omega_2(j_{0,2})}{\omega_1(j_{0,1})}.\end{aligned}$$

From these estimates and Proposition 2.7 we get $\mathbb{U}^r(\omega, \boldsymbol{J}) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$, and the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By (1.15) and Proposition 1.9 we may assume that $A = 0$. Let

$$\omega_0(x, \xi, y, \eta) = \omega(x, \eta, \xi - \eta, y - x).$$

By Lemma 3.3 in [45], there is a lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$, $A \in \mathbb{U}^r(\omega_0, \Lambda^2)$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in M^r_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\operatorname{Op}(a) = D_{\phi_1} \circ A \circ C_{\phi_2}$. More refined, by [30] we may choose both ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and their dual windows to belong to $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (cf. Remark 1.5).

We have

$$C_{\phi_2} : M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\Lambda^2) \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$D_{\phi_1} : \ \ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(\Lambda^2) \to M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \tag{3.7}$$

are continuous and

$$A \in \mathscr{I}_q(\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\Lambda^2), \ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(\Lambda^2))$$

in view of Proposition 3.2. Hence, by Proposition 4.1 (1) we get

$$\operatorname{Op}(a) = D_{\phi_1} \circ A \circ C_{\phi_2} \in \mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d), M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)),$$

which is the same as (3.3). □

Theorem 3.1 also leads to the following Schatten-von Neumann result on operators with kernels in modulation spaces, which in particular improve [14, Corollary 3.1].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\omega_j \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_j})$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_2+2d_1})$ be such that*

$$\frac{\omega_2(x, \xi)}{\omega_1(y, \eta)} \lesssim \omega(x, y, \xi, -\eta), \qquad x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d_2}, \ y, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1},$$

and let $p, q, r \in (0, \infty]$ be such that (3.1) holds. Also let T be a linear and continuous operator from $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with distribution kernel $K \in M^r_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$. Then

$$T \in \mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}), M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$$

and

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}, M^p_{(\omega_2)})} \lesssim \|K\|_{M^r_{(\omega)}}.$$

Proof. If $d_1 = d_2$, then the result follows from Proposition 2.5 (2) in [46] and Theorem 3.1. We need to consider the case when $d_1 \neq d_2$.

If $d_2 > d_1$, then let $d_0 = d_2 - d_1$, $\phi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{d_0}) \setminus 0$ be fixed, and set

$$K_0(x, y_1) = K(x, y)\phi(y_0), \quad \omega_0(x, y_1, \xi, \eta_1) = \omega(x, y, \xi, \eta)$$

and

$$\omega_{0,1}(y_1,\eta_1)=\omega_1(y,\eta)$$

when

$$y_1=(y,y_0)\in\mathbf{R}^{d_1}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_0}\simeq\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\quad\text{and}\quad\eta_1=(\eta,\eta_0)\in\mathbf{R}^{d_1}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_0}\simeq\mathbf{R}^{d_2}.$$

Also let T_0 be the operator from $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ to $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with kernel K_0. By straight-forward computations it follows that $\|K\|_{M^r_{(\omega)}}\asymp\|K_0\|_{M^r_{(\omega_0)}}$ and

$$\sigma_j(T;M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}),M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}))\lesssim\sigma_j(T_0;M^\infty_{(\omega_{0,1})}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}),M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})),$$

giving that

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)},M^p_{(\omega_2)})}\lesssim\|T_0\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_{0,1})},M^p_{(\omega_2)})}\lesssim\|K_0\|_{M^r_{(\omega_0)}}\asymp\|K\|_{M^r_{(\omega)}},$$

and the result follows in this case.

If instead $d_2<d_1$, then let $d_0=d_1-d_2$, $\phi\in\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})\setminus 0$ be fixed, and set

$$K_0(x_1,y)=\phi(x_0)K(x,y),\quad\omega_0(x_1,y,\xi_1,\eta)=\omega(x,y,\xi,\eta)$$

and

$$\omega_{0,2}(x_1,\xi_1)=\omega_2(x,\xi)$$

when

$$x_1=(x,x_0)\in\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_0}\simeq\mathbf{R}^{d_1}\quad\text{and}\quad\xi_1=(\xi,\xi_0)\in\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_0}\simeq\mathbf{R}^{d_1}.$$

By similar arguments as above we get

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)},M^p_{(\omega_2)})}\lesssim\|T_0\|_{\mathscr{I}_q(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)},M^p_{(\omega_{0,2})})}\lesssim\|K\|_{M^r_{(\omega)}},$$

when T_0 is the operator with kernel K_0. This gives the result. □

4. Nuclearity properties for operators with kernels in modulation spaces

In this section we perform analogous investigations as in the the previous section to deduce r-nuclear properties of operators with kernels in $M^p_{(\omega)}$. At the same time we deduce analogous properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in $M^p_{(\omega)}$.

First we have the following concerning $\mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$ in Section 1.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $p\in(0,1]$, $\mathscr{B}_0$ be a Banach space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a quasi-Banach space of order p. Then $\mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$ is a quasi-Banach space of order p.*

It is clear that $\mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$ in Proposition 4.1 is a quasi-normed space of order p. What remains to verify is that $\mathscr{N}_p(\mathscr{B}_0,\mathscr{B})$ is complete, and this follows by similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.1. The details are left for the reader. (See also [22].)

We have now the following results.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A\in\mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$, $p\in(0,1]$ and let $\omega_0\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$ and $\omega_1,\omega_2\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be such that (3.2) holds true. Then*

$$M^p_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})\subseteq u_{A,p}(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d),M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)). \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\omega_j\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_j})$ for $j=1,2$ and $\omega\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_2+2d_1})$ be such that*

$$\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,\eta)}\lesssim\omega(x,y,\xi,-\eta),\qquad x,\xi\in\mathbf{R}^{d_2},\ y,\eta\in\mathbf{R}^{d_1},$$

and let $p\in(0,1]$. Also let T be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with distribution kernel $K\in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$. Then

$$T\in\mathscr{N}_p(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}),M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$$

and

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{N}_p(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)},M^p_{(\omega_2)})}\lesssim\|K\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}}.$$

For the proofs of these results we again consider related questions for matrix operators.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $p\in(0,1]$, J_k be index set, ω_k be positive functions on J_k, $k=1,2$, let $\boldsymbol{J}=J_2\times J_1$ and suppose*

$$\frac{\omega_2(j_2)}{\omega_1(j_1)}\lesssim\omega(j_2,j_1),\quad(j_2,j_1)\in\boldsymbol{J}.$$

Then $\mathbb{U}^p(\omega,\boldsymbol{J})\subseteq\mathscr{N}_p(\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(J_1),\ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(J_2))$.

Proof. The set $\mathscr{N}_p(\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(J_1),\ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(J_2))$ is a quasi-Banach space of order p. Furthermore, let A_{j_2,j_1} be the same as in Proposition 2.7 and let ρ_k from $\mathbf{Z}_+$ to J_k be enumerations of J_k, $k=1,2$. Since

$$\{\delta_{j_{0,1},\rho_1(l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty\in\ell^1_{(1/\omega_1\circ\rho_1)}(\mathbf{Z}_+)\quad\text{and}\quad\|f\|_{\ell^1_{(1/\omega_1\circ\rho_1)}}=\|f\|_{(\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1\circ\rho_1)})'}$$

when $f\in\ell^1_{(1/\omega_1\circ\rho_1)}(\mathbf{Z}_+)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_{j_{0,2},j_{0,1}}\|_{\mathscr{N}_p(\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(J_1),\ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(J_2))}&\le\|\{\delta_{j_{0,1},\rho_1(l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^1_{(1/\omega_1\circ\rho_1)}}\|\{\delta_{j_{0,2},\rho_2(l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^\infty_{(\omega_2\circ\rho_2)}}\\&\le\frac{\omega_2(j_{0,2})}{\omega_1(j_{0,1})}\lesssim\omega(j_{0,2},j_{0,1})\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Proposition 2.7. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By (1.15) and Proposition 1.9 we may assume that $A = 0$. Let ω_0, A, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and Λ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 with p in place of r.

Since C_{ϕ_2} and D_{ϕ_1} in (3.6) and (3.7) are continuous and

$$A \in \mathscr{N}_p(\ell^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\Lambda^2), \ell^p_{(\omega_2)}(\Lambda^2))$$

by Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.1 gives

$$\operatorname{Op}(a) = D_{\phi_1} \circ A \circ C_{\phi_2} \in \mathscr{N}_p(M^\infty_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d), M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)),$$

which is the same as (4.1). □

Finally, Theorem 4.3 now follows by similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, where Theorem 4.2 is used instead of Theorem 3.1. The details are left for the reader.

References

[1] T. Aoki *Locally bounded linear topological spaces*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **18** (1942), 588–594.

[2] M. S. Birman, M. Z. Solomyak *Estimates for the singular numbers of integral operators (Russian)*, Usbehi Mat. Nauk. **32**, (1977), 17–84.

[3] P. Boggiatto, G. De Donno, A. Oliaro *Time-frequency representations of Wigner type and pseudo-differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 4955–4981.

[4] F. F. Bonsall *Decompositions of functions as sums of elementary functions*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **37** (1986), 129–136.

[5] M. Cappiello, J. Toft, *Pseudo-differential operators in a Gelfand–Shilov setting*, Math. Nachr., Early View, DOI: 10.1002/mana.201500465, 2016.

[6] E. Carypis, P. Wahlberg, *Propagation of exponential phase space singularities for Schrödinger equations with quadratic Hamiltonians*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., (appeared online 2016).

[7] Y. Chen, M. Signahl, J. Toft *Factorizations and singular value estimates of operators with Gelfand-Shilov and Pilipović kernels*, (preprint), arXiv:1511.06257.

[8] J. Chung, S.-Y. Chung, D. Kim *Characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces via Fourier transforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 2101–2108.

[9] E. Cordero, S. Pilipović, L. Rodino, N. Teofanov *Quasianalytic Gelfand-Shilov spaces with applications to localization operators*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **40** (2010), 1123–1147.

[10] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky *Schatten classes on compact manifolds: kernel conditions*, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 772–798.

[11] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky *L^p-nuclearity, traces, and Grothendieck-Lidskii formula on compact Lie groups*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **102** (2014), 153–172.

[12] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky *Kernel and symbol criteria for Schatten classes and r-nuclearity on compact manifolds*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **352** (2014), 779–784.

[13] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky, N.Tokmagambetov *Schatten classes, nuclearity and nonharmonic analysis on compact manifolds with boundary*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (appeared online 2016).

[14] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky, B. Wang *Approximation property and nuclearity on mixed-norm L^p, modulation and Wiener amalgam spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **94** (2016), 391–408.

[15] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky, B. Wang *Grothendieck-Lidskii trace formula for mixed-norm and variable Lebesgue spaces*, J. Spectr. Theory (to appear).
[16] H. G. Feichtinger *On a new Segal algebra*, Monatsh. Math., **92** (1981), 269–289.
[17] H. G. Feichtinger *Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups. Technical report*, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1983; also in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds) Wavelets and their applications, Allied Publishers Private Limited, NewDehli Mumbai Kolkata Chennai Hagpur Ahmedabad Bangalore Hyderbad Lucknow, 2003, pp. 99–140.
[18] H. G. Feichtinger, K. H. Gröchenig *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*, J. Funct. Anal., **86** (1989), 307–340.
[19] Y. V. Galperin, S. Samarah *Time-frequency analysis on modulation spaces* $M^{p,q}_m$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **16** (2004), 1–18.
[20] I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov *Generalized functions, I–III*, Academic Press, NewYork London, 1968.
[21] T. Gramchev, S. Pilipović, L. Rodino *Classes of degenerate elliptic operators in Gelfand-Shilov spaces in: L. Rodino, M. W. Wong (Eds) New developments in pseudo-differential operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **189**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2009, pp. 15–31.
[22] A. Grothendieck *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 1955 **16** (1955).
[23] K. H. Gröchenig *Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames*, Monatsh. Math.,**112** (1991), 1–42.
[24] K. H. Gröchenig *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
[25] K. Gröchenig *Weight functions in time-frequency analysis* in: L. Rodino, M. W. Wong (Eds) Pseudodifferential Operators: Partial Differential Equations and Time-Frequency Analysis, Fields Institute Comm., **52** 2007, pp. 343–366.
[26] K. H. Gröchenig and C. Heil *Modulation spaces and pseudo-differential operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory (4) **34** (1999), 439–457.
[27] K. Gröchenig, G. Zimmermann *Spaces of test functions via the STFT*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **2** (2004), 25–53.
[28] L. Hörmander *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, vol I–III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Tokyo, 1983, 1985.
[29] A.M.E.M. Janssen, S.J.L. Eijndhoven *Spaces of type* W, growth of Hermite coefficients, Wigner distribution, and Bargmann transform, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **152** (1990), 368–390.
[30] S. Kostadinova, K. Saneva, J. Vindas *Gabor frames and asymptotic behavior of Schwartz distributions*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **10** (online 2016).
[31] Z. Lozanov-Crvenković, D. Perišić, M. Tasković *Gelfand-Shilov spaces structural and kernel theorems*, (preprint), arXiv:0706.2268v2.
[32] K. A. Okoudjou *Embeddings of some classical Banach spaces into modulation spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2002), 301–313.
[33] S. Pilipović *Generalization of Zemanian spaces of generalized functions which have orthonormal series expansions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986), 477Đ484.
[34] H. Rauhut *Wiener amalgam spaces with respect to quasi-Banach spaces*, Colloq. Math. **109** (2007), 345–362.
[35] H. Rauhut *Coorbit space theory for quasi-Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **180** (2007), 237–253.

[36] S. Rolewicz *On a certain class of linear metric spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astrono. Phys., **5** (1957), 471–473.

[37] B. Simon *Trace ideals and their applications*, I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge London New York Melbourne, 1979.

[38] J. Sjöstrand *An algebra of pseudodifferential operators*, Math. Res. L. **1** (1994), 185–192.

[39] M. Sugimoto, N. Tomita *The dilation property of modulation spaces and their inclusion relation with Besov Spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **248** (2007), 79–106.

[40] J. Toft *Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I*, J. Funct. Anal., **207** (2004), 399–429.

[41] J. Toft *Continuity and Schatten properties for pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces* in: J. Toft, M. W. Wong, H. Zhu (eds) *Modern Trends in Pseudo-Differential Operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007, 173–206.

[42] J. Toft *The Bargmann transform on modulation and Gelfand-Shilov spaces, with applications to Toeplitz and pseudo-differential operators*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **3** (2012), 145–227.

[43] J. Toft *Multiplication properties in Gelfand-Shilov pseudo-differential calculus* in: S. Molahajlo, S. Pilipović, J. Toft, M. W. Wong (eds) *Pseudo-Differential Operators, Generalized Functions and Asymptotics,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications Vol 231, Birkhäuser, Basel Heidelberg NewYork Dordrecht London, 2013, pp. 117–172.

[44] J. Toft *Gabor analysis for a broad class of quasi-Banach modulation spaces,* in: S. Pilipović, J. Toft (eds) *Pseudo-Differential Operators and Generalized Functions,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications Vol 245, Birkhäuser, Basel Heidelberg NewYork Dordrecht London, 2015, pp. 249–278.

[45] J. Toft *Continuity and Schatten properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in quasi-Banach modulation spaces or Hörmander classes*, Ann. Appl. (appeared online 2016).

[46] J. Toft *Matrix parameterized pseudo-differential calculi on modulation spaces* in: M. Oberguggenberger, J. Toft, J. Vindas, P. Wahlberg (eds) *Generalized Functions and Fourier Analysis,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser, Basel Heidelberg NewYork Dordrecht London (to appear). Also available at arXiv:1604.01229.

[47] J. Toft, P. Boggiatto *Schatten classes for Toeplitz operators with Hilbert space windows on modulation spaces*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 305–333.

[48] J. Toft, A. Khrennikov, B. Nilsson and S. Nordebo *Decompositions of Gelfand-Shilov kernels into kernels of similar class*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **396** (2012), 315–322.

[49] G. Tranquilli *Global normal forms and global properties in function spaces for second order Shubin type operators* PhD Thesis, 2013.

[50] B. Wang, C. Huang *Frequency-uniform decomposition method for the generalized BO, KdV and NLS equations*, J. Differential Equations, **239**, 2007, 213–250.

Department of Mathematics, Linnæus University, Växjö, Sweden
E-mail address: joachim.toft@lnu.se